

# **CERTIFICATION**

# **AOAC®** Performance Tested<sup>SM</sup>

Certificate No.

051601

The AOAC Research Institute hereby certifies the test kit known as:

## Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA

manufactured by

Solus Scientific Ltd.
Unit 9 Mansfield Networkcentre
Millennium Business Park
Concorde Way, Mansfield
Nottinghamshire, NG9 7JZ

This method has been evaluated in the AOAC® *Performance Tested Methods*<sup>SM</sup> Program and found to perform as stated by the manufacturer contingent to the comments contained in the manuscript. This certificate means that an AOAC® Certification Mark License Agreement has been executed which authorizes the manufacturer to display the AOAC *Performance Tested* SM certification mark along with the statement - "THIS METHOD'S PERFORMANCE WAS REVIEWED BY AOAC RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND WAS FOUND TO PERFORM TO THE MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS" - on the above mentioned method for a period of one calendar year from the date of this certificate (November 30, 2020 – December 31, 2021). Renewal may be granted at the end of one year under the rules stated in the licensing agreement.

Scott Coates

December 01, 2020

Scott Coates, Senior Director
Signature for AOAC Research Institute

Date

**METHOD AUTHORS** 

ORIGINAL VALIDATION: Ben Bastin, Alison DeShields, Patrick Bird, M. Joseph Benzinger, Jr., Erin Crowley, James Agin, David Goins, Ray Wakefield, Simon Illingworth, and

MODIFICATION OCTOBER 2020: Gillian Bradley
MODIFICATION NOVEMBER 2020: Kirsty Roberts, Paul

Wells, and Simon Illingworth

CATALOG NUMBERS

SUBMITTING COMPANY

Millennium Business Park

Concorde Way, Mansfield

Solus Scientific Ltd.

SAL0096S, SAL0480S

KIT NAME(S)

Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA

INDEPENDENT LABORATORY

Q Laboratories, Inc. 1400 Harrison Ave Cincinnati, OH USA **AOAC EXPERTS AND PEER REVIEWERS** 

Yi Chen<sup>1</sup>, Michael Brodsky<sup>2</sup>, Yvonne Salfinger<sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup>US FDA CFSAN, College Park, MD, USA

Nottinghamshire, NG9 7JZ United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> Brodsky Consultants, Thornhill, Ontario, Canada

<sup>3</sup> Consultant, Denver, CO, USA

APPLICABILITY OF METHOD

Target organism - Salmonella species

Matrixes – USDA/FSIS-MLG 4.08 - raw chicken breast (25 g) and raw beef trim (375 g)

FDA/BAM Ch 5 – FOOD - (25 g) - raw salmon filet, bagged romaine lettuce, shredded cheddar cheese, instant non-fat dry milk, shell eggs and ENVIRONMENTAL SURFACES - stainless steel environmental surface (swab, 1 x 1 in), polystyrene environmental surface (sponge, 4 x 4 in)

Performance claims - The Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA method is equivalent to the USDA/FSIS-MLG 4.08 (2) for raw beef trim and raw chicken breast. The Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA method is equivalent to the FDA/BAM Chapter 5 Salmonella (3) for raw salmon filet, bagged romaine lettuce, shredded cheddar cheese, instant non-fat dry milk, shell eggs, stainless steel, and polystyrene environmental surfaces.

#### REFERENCE METHODS

United States Department of Agriculture Microbiological Laboratory Guidelines 4.08: Isolation and Identification of Salmonella from Red Meat, Poultry, Pasteurized Egg, Catfish Products, and Environmental Sponges. June 29th, 2014. (2) Food and Drug Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual Chapter 5: Salmonella. February 2014. (3)

ORIGINAL CERTIFICATION DATE May 04, 2016	CERTIFICATION RENEWAL RECORD Renewed annually through December 2021
METHOD MODIFICATION RECORD	SUMMARY OF MODIFICATION
1. December 2018 Level 1	<ol> <li>Editorial/clerical changes to text to bring the language and style in line with more recently approved products.</li> </ol>
2. February 2020 Level 1	<ol><li>Rebranding from Solus Scientific to PerkinElmer. Combined 1 and 5 plate kits into single IFU.</li></ol>
3. October 2020 Level 2	3. Evaluation of TMB substrate replacement.
4. November 2020 Level 2	4. Shelf life extension from 12 to 15 months.
Under this AOAC® <i>Performance Tested</i> <sup>SM</sup> License Number, 051601 this method is distributed by: NONE	Under this AOAC® <i>Performance Tested</i> <sup>SM</sup> License Number, 051601 this method is distributed as: NONE

### PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD (1)

The Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA method is used for the rapid and specific detection of Salmonella species in enriched food and environmental samples. The Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA method relies on antibodies attaches to the wells of the microplate strips that are specific to Salmonella antigens. Samples are heat treated and an aliquot is added to the antibody coated wells.

Salmonella specific antigens present in the samples will bind immunologically to the antibody. After washing to remove unbound material, an enzyme-labeled antibody will bind to the proteins and thus to the well. After a second wash step to remove any unbound enzyme-antibody, the enzyme substrate is added. The substrate reacts in the presence of the enzyme producing a blue color change in the sample well. The substrate reaction is stopped after 30 minutes with the addition of dilute sulphuric acid changing any blue color present in the wells to yellow. [4]

#### **DISCUSSION OF THE VALIDATION STUDY (1)**

The inclusivity study showed the Solus Salmonella ELISA had a high specificity for the Salmonella species but one limitation was noted for Salmonella arizonae, since the assay did not detect all the strains from this subspecies.

The exclusivity study showed the Solus Salmonella ELISA had a high specificity and the few crossreactions observed with a small number of strains after a non-selective enrichment in BPW were all eliminated after the subculture in the selective broth, RVS. Since the enrichment protocol for food matrixes and environmental surfaces always includes a second enrichment step in RVS, the crossreactions remain limited.

The Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA methods successfully recovered Salmonella species from all of the matrixes and environmental surfaces analyzed. Using POD analysis, no statistically significant differences were observed between the number of positive samples detected by the candidate method and each of the reference methods for all matrixes and environmental surfaces tested.

After results of the original analysis of the raw chicken breast were obtained, an investigation into the cause of the discrepant results was performed. The samples that produced the false positive results in the first round of testing, displayed previously in Table D, had notably

higher absorbance values ( $OD_{450}$  of ~0.250-0.425) than what would be expected for a typical negative sample ( $OD_{450}$  < 0.0.050). These results suggested two potential sources for the issues: a cross reaction with background flora or an issue with the lot of the testing media, RVS (i.e. an issue with the specificity of this lot of media). For all of the matrixes evaluated, the raw chicken breast had the second highest background microflora (APC of >10<sup>5</sup> CFU/g) and further identification of the background flora was conducted. Several colonies recovered from the selective agar plates were struck for isolation onto non-selective agar for further biochemical analysis. Two species were predominately isolated, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Hafnia alvei*.

When tested with the Somatic Polyvalent O antisera, these two strains cross reacted strongly with the antisera indicating the potential for cross reaction with Salmonella antibodies. The combination of the high absorbance readings, may indicate that these strains were able to grow in the lot of RVS and produce a cross reaction with the assay. Discussions with the manufacturer of the RVS indicated that the different sources for the raw materials, including ingredients designed to make the media select, were being used and could be one of the factors that lead to the discrepant results. To determine if the issue was specific to that lot of media, or that lot of chicken breast, a second set of raw chicken breast samples were analyzed. For the retest of the raw chicken breast, two lots of Solus Scientific RVS (the original lot and a newly manufactured lot) and a third lot of Accumedia brand RVS were evaluated. Based on the results obtained from the retest, summarized in Table E, it does not appear that the lot or brand of the media was the definitive cause, nor does it appear that the matrix itself is the issue. No definitive cause of the issues was identified, but for matrixes with high microbial backgrounds, special note should be taken with all presumptive positive samples.

The manual and the automated methods for the Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA method are quick and simple to perform, providing results in less than 2 hours post incubation of the selective enrichment for 30 sample replicates. The method offers the benefit of the use of both the manual method and the automated method to obtain results. The small footprint of both methods offers the ability to test in various laboratories. The Dynex DS2 software is user friendly with the ability to track lot information and sample identification quickly and with ease. The Dynex DS2 software and instrument also offer the ability to run multiple assays at one time and has an open platform.

Table 19: Solus Scier	ntific Salmonella	ELISA, Candidate*	vs. Reference – POD Result	ts (1)								
Matrix/Test	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Portion	Strain	ELISA Wiethod	Test Portion	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> <sup>e</sup>	95% CI	αPOD <sub>C</sub> .	95% CI <sup>5</sup>
_	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Beef Trim (375 g)	Typhimurium	Manual	0.49 (0.17, 0.69)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.15	-0.14, 0.41
. 3,	ATCC 14028		3.76 (1.55, 9.10)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Churcha	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	OFO/ CIG
Portion	Strain	ELISA Wiethod	Test Portion	I Nº	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>C</sub> .	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Raw Chicken	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Breast	Enteritidis	Automated	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.10	-0.19, 0.37
(25 g)	ATCC 13076	4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Matrix/Test	Matrix/Test Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Portion	Strain	LLISA WEUTOU	Test Portion	IV.	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	urob <sub>c</sub>	93% CI
			-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Chicken Breast	Salmonella Enteritidis	Manual	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.10	-0.19, 0.37
(25 g)	ATCC 13076		4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Portion	Strain	ELISA Wiethod	Test Portion	IN-	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>C</sub>	95% CI°
			-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Salmon Filet	Raw Salmon Filet (25 g)  Salmonella Senftenberg ATCC 43845	h+	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	-0.05	-0.33, 0.24
(25 g)			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>\*</sup>The alternative and traditional confirmation both produced identical results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>d}\text{POD}_{\text{C}} = \text{Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>C</sub>= Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values

g95% CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 20: Solus So	20: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Candidate* vs. Reference – POD Results (1)  Candidate Reference													
Matrix/Test	Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN-	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>C</sub>	95% CI°		
Bagged	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Romaine Lettuce	Hadar	Manual	0.38 (0.17, 0.67)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.05	-0.23, 0.32		
(25 g)	ATCC 51956		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Candi	date		Refere	nce				
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nb	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Shredded	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Cheddar	Heidelberg	Manual	0.46 (0.23, 0.78)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.15	-0.14, 0.41		
Cheese (25 g)	NCTC 5717		2.29 (1.04, 5.02)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
	f Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	2.15		Candi	date		Refere	nce	laga (	070/ 010		
-		Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Instant Non-	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Fat Dry Milk	Abony	Manual	0.49 (0.25, 0.85)	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	-0.05	-0.32, 0.23		
(25 g)	NCTC 6017		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Matrix/Test	Chunin	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	- date		Refere	nce	dpop f	OFN/ CIF		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Shall Eggs	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Shell Eggs (25 g)	Jerusalem	Automated	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	12	0.60	0.39, 0.78	0.15	-0.13, 0.40		
	QL 024.12		4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Matrix/Test	l Strain I	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPODc <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Portion		Method	Test Portion	IV	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	ur ODC	93% CI*		
Chall Fags	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Shell Eggs (25 g)	Jerusalem	Manual	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	12	0.60	0.39, 0.78	0.15	-0.13, 0.40		
( - 0,	(25 g) QL 024.12		4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		

<sup>\*</sup>The alternative and traditional confirmation both produced identical results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>C</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPODc= Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 21: Solus So	ientific Salmonella ELISA	A, Candidate* v	s. Reference – POD Re	sults (1)									
	Ct vist is	ELISA	CFU°/	N <sup>b</sup>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	OFO/ Olg	
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN"	Χc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>C</sub> .	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
	<i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Stainless Steel	ATCC BAA-215 & Citrobacter freundii	Automated	35 & 210	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	8	0.40	0.34, 0.74	0.05	-0.24, 0.33	
	ATCC 8090		440 & 1200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
		ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /			Candi	date		Refere	nce	15.55 6		
Matrix	Strain Method	Method	Test Area	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
Salmonella Typhimurium		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Stainless Steel	Typhimurium	Manual	32 & 510	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	8	0.40	0.43, 0.82	0.05	-0.24, 0.33	
	ATCC 8090		400 & 3700	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
		ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /			Candi	date		Refere	nce	toon f		
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
	<i>Salmonella</i> Montevideo		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Polystyrene	ATCC 8387	C 8387 & Manual	ATCC 8387 Manual	40 & 390	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	7	0.35	0.26, 0.66	-0.05	-0.32, 0.23
			320 & 2200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	

<sup>\*</sup>The alternative and traditional confirmation produced identical results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>CFU/Test Area = Results of the CFU/Test area were determined by plating the inoculum for each matrix in triplicate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>C</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPODc= Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 22: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Alternative Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Matrix/Test	Churche	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Presump	otive		Confir	ned	dnon f	050/ 619
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Beef Trim (375 g)	Typhimurium	Manual	0.49 (0.17, 0.69)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
(3/3 g)	ATCC 14028		3.76 (1.55, 9.10)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presump	otive		Confir	ned		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
			-	5	2	0.40	0.12, 0.77	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.40	-0.12, 0.77
Raw Chicken Breast	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	Automated	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0.20	-0.09, 0.45
(25 g)	(25 g) ATCC 13076		4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Matrix/Test Com-	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confir	ned	dnon f	050/ 619
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
			-	5	2	0.40	0.12, 0.77	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.40	-0.12, 0.77
Raw Chicken Breast	Salmonella Enteritidis	Manual	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	13	0.65	0.43, 0.82	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0.10	-0.19, 0.37
(25 g)	ATCC 13076		4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Sánain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Presump	otive		Confir	ned	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN <sup>o</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	95% CI⁵
	Salmonalla		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Salmon Filet	Raw Salmon Filet (25 g) Salmonella Senftenberg ATCC 43845	Manual	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>\</sup>alphaMPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 23: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Alternative Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Matrix/Test	Clarity.	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Presum	otive		Confirme	ed	dnon f	050/ 01/2
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nº	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Bagged	6-1		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Romaine	<i>Salmonella</i> Hadar	Manual	0.38 (0.17, 0.67)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	-0.23, 0.32
Lettuce (25 g)	ATCC 51956		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	C	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	alb		Presum	otive		Confirme	ed	dnon f	050/ Clg
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Shredded	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Cheddar Cheese	Heidelberg	Manual	0.46 (0.23, 0.78)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
(25 g)	NCTC 5717		2.29 (1.04, 5.02)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	'   Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive		Confirme	ed		
-		Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Instant Non-Fat	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Dry Milk	Abony	Manual	0.49 (0.25, 0.85)	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.00	-0.27, 0.27
(25 g)	NCTC 6017		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive		Confirme	ed		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	PODcpd	95% CI	х	POD <sub>CC</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Shell Eggs	Jerusalem	Automated	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	0.00	-0.26, 0.26
(25 g)	QL 024.12		4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive		Confirme	ed		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	х <sup>с</sup>	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Shell Eggs	Shell Eggs   Salmonella   Jerusalem   QL 024.12	Manual	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	0.00	-0.26, 0.26
,			4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 24: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Alternative Confirmed – POD Results (1)

D. Cartuin	Churcius	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirn	ned	dnon f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN <sup>2</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI⁵
	Salmonella Typhimurium ATCC		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Stainless Steel	BAA-215 & Citrobacter	Automated	35 & 210	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
	freundii ATCC 8090		440 & 1200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix	Strain	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Np		Presump	otive		Confirn	ned	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
IVIALITIX	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	PODcce	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> .	95% CI°
Salmonella Typhimurium ATCC		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Stainless Steel	ATCC nless BAA-215 eel & Manual Citrobacter	Manual	35 & 210	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
	freundii ATCC 8090		440 & 1200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
20-1-1-	GL vide	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	JDOD f	050/ Olg
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	N°	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	<i>Salmonella</i> Montevideo		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Polystyrene	ATCC 8387	Manual	40 & 390	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.00	-0.27, 0.27
		320 & 2200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>CFU/Test Area = Results of the CFU/Test area were determined by plating the inoculum for each matrix in triplicate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 25: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Traditional Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Matrix/Test	Chunin	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confire	ned	dnon f	OFO/ Olg
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN <sup>2</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Raw Beef Trim (375 g)	Typhimurium	Manual	0.49 (0.17, 0.69)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
(373 g)	ATCC 14028		3.76 (1.55, 9.10)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Cl	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	JDOD f	050/ 619
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N°	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
			-	5	2	0.40	0.12, 0.77	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.40	-0.12, 0.77
Raw Chicken Breast	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	Automated	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0.20	-0.09, 0.45
(25 g) ATCC 13076		4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Matrix/Test Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	$dPOD_{CP}^f$	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> .	95% CI*
			-	5	2	0.40	0.12, 0.77	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.40	-0.12, 0.77
Raw Chicken Breast	Salmonella Enteritidis	Manual	0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	13	0.65	0.43, 0.82	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0.10	-0.19, 0.37
(25 g)	ATCC 13076		4.38 (1.72, 11.15)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a sh		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	laca (	0.004.000
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Day Calman	Calmon all -		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Filet	Raw Salmon Salmonella Filet Senftenberg		0.61 (0.33, 1.02)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
(25 g) ATCC 43845	Manual	3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 26: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Traditional Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Matrix/Test	Clarity.	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>		Presum	otive		Confirm	ed	Joon '	050/ Ola
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nº	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Bagged	6-1		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Romaine	<i>Salmonella</i> Hadar	Manual	0.38 (0.17, 0.67)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	-0.23, 0.32
Lettuce (25 g)	ATCC 51956		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	a	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presum	otive		Confirm	ed	loop '	070/ 017
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>5</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Shredded	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Cheddar Cheese	Heidelberg	Manual	0.46 (0.23, 0.78)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
(25 g)	NCTC 5717		2.29 (1.04, 5.02)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Matrix/Test Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	2.15		Presum	otive		Confirm	ed	laga (	070/ 010
Portion		Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Instant Non-Fat			-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Dry Milk	Abony	Manual	0.49 (0.25, 0.85)	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.00	-0.27, 0.27
(25 g)	NCTC 6017		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive		Confirm	ed		
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nb	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Shell Eggs	Jerusalem	Automated	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	0.00	-0.26, 0.26
(25 g)	QL 024.12		4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Matrix/Test	Charte	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.ih		Presum	otive		Confirm	ed	JDOD f	050/ Olg
Portion	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Shell Eggs (25 g)	Shell Eggs  (25 g)  Salmonella  Jerusalem	Manual	0.98 (0.60, 1.69)	20	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	15	0.75	0.53, 0.89	0.00	-0.26, 0.26
	QL 024.12		4.38 (1.72, 11.2)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 27: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA, Presumptive vs. Traditional Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Matrix	Strain	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	4DOD f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI⁵	
	Salmonella Typhimurium ATCC		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Stainless Steel	BAA-215 & Citrobacter	Automated	35 & 210	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28	
	freundii ATCC 8090		440 & 1200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Matrix	Strain	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
iviatrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	PODcce	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> .	95% CI*	
Salmonella Typhimurium ATCC		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Stainless Steel	ATCC BAA-215 Steel & Manual Citrobacter	Manual	35 & 210	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0.00	-0.28, 0.28	
	freundii ATCC 8090		440 & 1200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
D.C. duin	Chunin	ELISA	CFU <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presump	otive		Confirm	ned	dnon f	050/ CIS	
Matrix	Strain	Method	Test Area	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPODc₽ <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
	<i>Salmonella</i> Montevideo		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43	
Polystyrene	Polystyrene ATCC 8387 Manua	Manual	40 & 390	20	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.00	-0.27, 0.27	
	ATCC 8090	& E. coli		320 & 2200	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>CFU/Test Area = Results of the CFU/Test area were determined by plating the inoculum for each matrix in triplicate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 28: Solus Scie	ble 28: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Candidate* vs. Reference – POD Results (1)  Candidate Reference													
Media	Chunin	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dnon f	050/ Clg		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Media	GL void o	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	doop f	050/ Clg		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	No	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
New Lot	ATCC 13076	3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43			
Media	Strain	ELISA	,			Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nb	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	urob <sub>c</sub>	93% CI°		
			-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Accumedia RVS	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
RVS	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Media	Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Formulation	Strain	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>C</sub> .	95% CI <sup>®</sup>			
	Salmonella RV-R10 Enteritidis Manual ATCC 13076	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43			
RV-R10		Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		

<sup>\*</sup>The alternative and traditional confirmation both produced identical results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>text{d}}\text{POD}_{\text{C}}\text{=}\text{Candidate}$  method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPODc= Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 29: Solus Scie	le 29: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Candidate* vs. Reference – POD Results (1)  Candidate Reference													
Media	Churcin	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sub>p</sub>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	-IDOD f	OFO/ CIF		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN"	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Media	a	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dpop f	050/ 61/		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN <sup>2</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>C</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
New Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Media	Media Strain ELISA	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> / Test Portion	N <sub>p</sub>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Formulation	Strain	Method		IN	Хc	POD <sub>C</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	urob <sub>c</sub>	93% CI°		
			-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Accumedia RVS	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
KVS	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
Media	Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sub>p</sub>		Candi	date		Refere	nce	dPOD <sub>c</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>		
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN-	Хc	POD <sub>c</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>R</sub> e	95% CI	uPOD <sub>C</sub> .	95% CI°		
	- / //		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		
RV-R10	RV-R10 Salmonella Enteritidis Automated ATCC 13076	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28		
			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43		

<sup>\*</sup>The alternative and traditional confirmation both produced identical results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

cx = Number of positive test portions

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>text{d}}\text{POD}_{\text{C}}\text{=}\text{Candidate}$  method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>R</sub> = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPODc= Difference between the confirmed candidate method result and reference method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 30: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Presumptive vs. Alternative Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Media	Clarity.	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presum	ptive		Confirm	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	OF9/ Olg
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion		Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> .	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Gt.	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.ib		Presum	ptive		Confirm	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	050/ 019
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nb	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI		95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus	Salmonella	ella	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> / Test Portion		Presumptive			Confirm	ed	dnon f	OFO/ Olg	
Formulation				Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>d</sup>	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
Solus	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	CL	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a i b		Presum	ptive		Confirm	ed	JDOD (	050/ 61/
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	Nb	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 31: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Presumptive vs. Alternative Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Media	Churcin	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	Nb		Presum	otive		Confirme	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	IN-	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	αPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	95% CI⁵
Solus	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Charle	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.ib		Presumptive		Confirmed			dpop f	
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus	Salmonella	Automated	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis		0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	MPN <sup>a</sup> /		Presum	otive		Confirm	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Formulation			Test Portion N	IN <sup>2</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	aPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	95% CI®
Solus	Salmonella	Automated	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis		0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive	Confirmed			loop (	0.000
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Scientific RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>CC</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 32: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Presumptive vs. Traditional Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Media	Strain	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	N <sup>b</sup>	Presumptive				Confirme	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Formulation		Method	Test Portion	N <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	αPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	93% CIP
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a sh		Presum	otive	Confirmed			Jacob f	
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus Scientific	Salmonella Enteritidis ATCC 13076	Manual	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS			0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /		Presumptive				Confirme	ed	dpop f	OF9/ CIg
Formulation			Test Portion N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>	
Solus Scientific	Salmonella	Manual	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis		0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /			Presum	otive		Confirme	ed	15.55 6	
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis	Manual	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>cc</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

Table 33: Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Raw Chicken Breast Retest, Presumptive vs. Traditional Confirmed – POD Results (1)

Media		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.h	Presumptive				Confirm	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	OE9/ Clg
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	αPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	GL L	ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.ih		Presum	otive	Confirmed			dnon f	
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sub>p</sub>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> f	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus Scientific	Salmonella Enteritidis ATCC 13076	Automated	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS			0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot			3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media	Strain	ELISA Method	MPN <sup>a</sup> /		Presumptive				Confirm	ed	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Formulation			Test Portion	IN <sup>3</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	POD <sub>cc</sub> e	95% CI	αPOD <sub>CP</sub> '	95% CI⁵
Solus Scientific	Salmonella	Automated	-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis		0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
Media		ELISA	MPN <sup>a</sup> /	a.h		Presum	otive	Confirmed			loop '	070/ 019
Formulation	Strain	Method	Test Portion	N <sup>b</sup>	Хc	POD <sub>CP</sub> d	95% CI	Х	PODcce	95% CI	dPOD <sub>CP</sub> <sup>f</sup>	95% CI <sup>g</sup>
Solus Scientific	Salmonella		-	5	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0	0.00	0.00, 0.43	0.00	-0.43, 0.43
RVS	Enteritidis	Automated	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.00	-0.28, 0.28
Original Lot	ATCC 13076		3.01 (1.31, 6.89)	5	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	5	1.00	0.57, 1.00	0.00	-0.43, 0.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>MPN = Most Probable Number is calculated using the LCF MPN calculator provided by AOAC RI, with 95% confidence interval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>N = Number of test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>x = Number of positive test portions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>POD<sub>CP</sub> = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>POD<sub>cc</sub> = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials

fdPOD<sub>CP</sub>= Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values

<sup>895%</sup> CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level

able 34: Inclusivity Results – First Testing done by Adria Développement Expert Laboratory – 55 strains (1)												
Organism	Source	Origin	Result	Organism	Source	Origin	Result					
Salmonella Agona	A00 <sup>1</sup> V38	Feedstuff	+	Salmonella Kedougou	Ad <sup>1</sup> 929	Environmental sample	+					
Salmonella Anatum	Ad <sup>1</sup> 6140	Bœuf Bourguignon	+	Salmonella Kottbus	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 1	Environmental sample	+					
Salmonella arizonae S IIIa 51:z4,z23:-	CIP <sup>3</sup> 5523	Turkey	-	Salmonella Livingstone	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> E1	Egg white powder	+					
Salmonella arizonae S IIIa 44:z4,z23:z32:-	CIP <sup>3</sup> 5522	Not available	-	Salmonella London	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 326	Ham	+					
Salmonella arizonae SIIIa 50 ;z4 ;z23	CIP <sup>3</sup> 5526	Egg powder	+	Salmonella Manhattan	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 900	Dairy environmental sample	+					
Salmonella diarizonae S IIIb 38:IV:z53	Ad <sup>1</sup> 451	Raw milk cheese	+	Salmonella Mbandaka	Ad <sup>1</sup> 914	Mayonnaise	+					
Salmonella diarizonae S IIIb 61:-:,1,5,7	Ad¹ 1280	Raw milk cheese	+	Salmonella Montevideo	Ad¹ 912	Raw milk	+					
Salmonella Blockley	Ad12 923	Chicken	+	Salmonella Napoli	Ad <sup>1</sup> 928	Bovine	+					
Salmonella Bovismorbificans	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 728	Agar	+	Salmonella Newport	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 540	Toulouse sausage	+					
Salmonella Braenderup	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 178	Food product	+	Salmonella Panama	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 195	Ground beef	+					
Salmonella Brandenburg	Ad12 351	Seafood	+	Salmonella Paratyphi A	ATCC <sup>2</sup> 9150	Not available	+					
Salmonella Bredeney	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 396	Ground beef	+	Salmonella Paratyphi B	Ad1 301	Clinical	+					
Salmonella Cerro	Ad12 689	Dehydrated proteins	+	Salmonella Paratyphi C	ATCC <sup>2</sup> 13428	Not available	+					
Salmonella Cremieu	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 230	Hare	+	Salmonella Regent	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 328	Duck	+					
Salmonella Derby	Ad <sup>1</sup> 1093	Frozen fish fillet	+	Salmonella Rissen	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 39	Poultry	+					
Salmonella Dublin	Ad <sup>1</sup> 528	Beef meat	+	Salmonella Saintpaul	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> F31	Pilchard fillet	+					
Salmonella Enteritidis	Ad <sup>1</sup> 926	Raw veal meat	+	Salmonella salamae S II 42:b:enxz	Ad <sup>1</sup> 593	Cereals	+					
Salmonella Gallinarum	Ad <sup>1</sup> 300	Poultry slaughterhouse	-	Salmonella Senftenberg	Ad <sup>1</sup> 355	Seafood	+					
Salmonella Give	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 436	Ground beef	+	<i>Salmonella</i> Typhi	Ad <sup>1</sup> 302	Clinical	+					
Salmonella Hadar	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 35	Poultry	+	Salmonella Typhimurium	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 305	Paella	+					
Salmonella Havana	Ad <sup>1</sup> 930	Poultry	+	Salmonella Typhimurium SI 1,4 [5], 12 :- :-	Ad <sup>1</sup> 1333	Tiramisu	+					
Salmonella Heidelberg	A00¹ E005	Dairy industry environmental sample	+	Salmonella Typhimurium SI 1,4 [5], 12 : i : -	Ad¹ 1334	Ready-to-eat meal	+					
Salmonella houtenae S IV 43:z4z32	Ad <sup>12</sup> 597	Fish	+	Salmonella Typhimurium	Ad <sup>1</sup> 1335	Environmental sample	+					
Salmonella Indiana	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 2	Fish flour	+	Salmonella Urbana	Ad <sup>1</sup> 501	Food product	+					
Salmonella indica S VI 1,26,14,25:a:enx	Ad1² 600	Environmental sample	+	Salmonella Virchow	ADRIA¹ F276	Curry	+					
Salmonella Infantis	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 12	Ready-to-eat	+	Salmonella Gallinarum	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 3778	Not available	+					
Salmonella Gallinarum	ADRIA 11006	Not available	+	Salmonella Gallinarum	ADRIA <sup>1</sup> 8202	Not available	+					
Salmonella Gallinarum	ADRIA 154	Not available	+									
		3010 0 11 6			•	•	· ·					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ADRIA culture collection - <sup>2</sup>ATCC-American Type Culture Collection - <sup>3</sup>CIP- Collection of Institut Pasteur

35: Inclusivity Results – Second	Testing done by Solus	Scientific – 54 strains (1)					
Organism	Source	Origin	Result	Organism	Source	Origin	Re
Salmonella Abaetatuba	NCTC1 8244	Not available	+	Salmonella Goldcoast	NCTC1 13175	Not available	
Salmonella Aberdeen	NCTC1 5791	Not available	+	Salmonella Heves	NCTC1 6755	Not available	
Salmonella Abortusequi	NEX 1624	Food product	+	Salmonella Hvittingfoss	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1467	Not available	
Salmonella Adelaide	NCTC1 6586	Not available	+	Salmonella Inverness	NCTC1 6591	Not available	
Salmonella Anatum	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1724	Canola	+	Salmonella Kirkee	NCTC1 5798	Not available	
Salmonella arizonae	NCTC1 7301	Not available	+	Salmonella Lagos	NEX <sup>2</sup> 703	Beef meat	
Salmonella arizonae	NCTC1 7349	Not available	+	Salmonella Lille	NEX <sup>2</sup> 296	Not available	
Salmonella arizonae	SOL <sup>2</sup> 0369	Food product	-	Salmonella Meleagridis	NEX <sup>2</sup> 742	Not available	Ī
Salmonella arizonae	SOL <sup>2</sup> 0370	Food product	+	Salmonella Minnesota	NCTC1 5800	Not available	Ī
Salmonella Aschersleben	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1906	Not available	+	Salmonella Mississippi	Solus <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	
Salmonella Bergen	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1644	Not available	+	Salmonella Muenchen	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1326	Not available	
Salmonella Bracknell	NCTC1 9852	Not available	+	Salmonella Newport	SOL <sup>2</sup> 0368	Beef meat	Ī
Salmonella Brazil	NCTC1 18446	Not available	+	Salmonella Nima	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1881	Not available	Ī
Salmonella Brookfield	NCTC1 10946	Not available	+	Salmonella Nitra	NCTC1 6297	Not available	1
Salmonella Champaign	NCTC1 10433	Not available	+	Salmonella Nottingham	NCTC1 7832	Not available	Ī
Salmonella Caracas	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1785	Food product	+	Salmonella Oukam	NEX <sup>2</sup> 837	Not available	
Salmonella Chester	NEX <sup>2</sup> 862	Duck liver	+	Salmonella Oranienburg	NCTC1 5743	Not available	Ī
Salmonella Cubana	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1829	Not available	+	Salmonella Poona	NCTC1 5792	Not available	Ī
Salmonella Dahlem	NCTC1 9949	Not available	+	Salmonella Ramatgan	NEX <sup>2</sup> 311	Not available	1
Salmonella diarizonae	SOL <sup>2</sup> 0371	Food product	+	Salmonella Reading	NEX <sup>2</sup> 919	River water	1
Salmonella diarizonae	SOL <sup>2</sup> 0372	Food product	+	Salmonella Salford	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1698	Food product	Ī
Salmonella Dugbe	NCTC1 10347	Not available	+	Salmonella Stuivenberg	NEX <sup>2</sup> 702	Beef meat	
Salmonella Duval	NCTC1 9875	Not available	+	Salmonella Thompson	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1569	Food product	
Salmonella Eilbeck	NCTC1 10381	Not available	+	Salmonella Tranoroa	NCTC1 10252	Not available	
Salmonella Essen	NCTC1 3045	Not available	+	Salmonella Typhimurium non motile	Solus <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	
Salmonella Ferlac	NCTC1 10458	Not available	+	Salmonella Umbilo	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1413	Not available	
Salmonella Gallinarum	Solus <sup>2</sup> EQA	Not available	+	Salmonella Worthington	NEX <sup>2</sup> 1880	Not available	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NCTC: National Collection of Type Cultures – <sup>2</sup>NEX & SOL; SOLUS collections

	Table 36: Exclusivity Results – First Testing done by Adria Développement Expert Laboratory – 30 strains (1)													
	Organism	Source	Origin	Result	Organism	Source	Origin	Result						
ĺ	Citrobacter braakii	Ad1 833	Raw beef	-	Hafnia alvei	Adria <sup>1</sup> 167	Raw pork sausage	-						
Ī	Citrobacter diversus	Adria <sup>1</sup> 140	Raw milk	-	Klebsiella oxytoca	Adria <sup>1</sup> 57	Food product	-						
	Citrobacter freundii	Adria <sup>1</sup> 23	Raw pork sausage	-	Klebsiella pneumoniae	Adria <sup>1</sup> 47	Raw turkey meat	-						
	Citrobacter freundii	Adria <sup>1</sup> 175	Raw duck meat	-	Kluyvera spp	Adria <sup>1</sup> 41	Raw milk	-						
	Citrobacter koseri	Adria <sup>1</sup> 71	Frozen vegetables	-	Morganella morganii	CIP <sup>2</sup> A236	Not available	-						
	Enterobacter agglomerans	Adria <sup>1</sup> 11	Cheese	-	Pantoea agglomerans	Adria <sup>1</sup> 86	Frozen vegetables	-						
	Enterobacter amnigenus	A00 <sup>1</sup> C068	Raw poultry meat	-	Proteus mirabilis	Ad1 639	Mayonnaise	-						
	Enterobacter cloacae	Adria <sup>1</sup> 10	Raw milk	-	Proteus vulgaris	Adria <sup>1</sup> 43	Sliced ham	-						
	Enterobacter intermedius	Adria <sup>1</sup> 60	Green beans	-	Providencia rettgeri	Adria <sup>1</sup> 112	White liquid egg	-						
	Enterobacter kobei	Ad <sup>1</sup> 342	Ham	-	Rhanella aquatilis	Adria <sup>1</sup> 69	Molluscs	-						
	Enterobacter sakazakii	Adria <sup>1</sup> 95	Fermented milk	-	Serratia liquefaciens	Adria <sup>1</sup> 26	Egg product	-						
ĺ	Erwinia carotovora	CIP <sup>2</sup> 8283	Potatoes	-	Serratia proteomaculans	A00 <sup>1</sup> C056	Ham	-						
	Escherichia coli	Adria <sup>1</sup> 19	Greated carrots	-	Shigella flexneri	CIP <sup>2</sup> 8248	Not available	-						
	Escherichia hermanii	Ad <sup>1</sup> 461	Dessert	-	Shigella sonnei	CIP <sup>2</sup> 8249	Not available	-						
ĺ	Escherichia vulneris	Adria <sup>1</sup> 127	Raw milk	-	Yersinia enterocolotica	Adria <sup>1</sup> 32	Bacon	-						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Adria Culture Collection – <sup>2</sup>CIP- Collection of Institut Pasteur

Table 37: Exclusivity Results – Second Testing done by Solus Scientific – 30 strains													
Organism	Source	Origin	Result	Organism	Source	Origin	Result						
Bacillus cereus	ATCC <sup>3</sup> 14579	Not available	-	Kluyvera ascorbata	NCTC1 9737	Not available	-						
Bacillus coagulans	NCTC1 10334	Not available	-	Kurthia zopfii	NCTC <sup>1</sup> 405	Not available	-						
Bacillus licheniformis	NCTC1 10341	Not available	-	Listeria ivanovii	ATCC3 19119	Not available	-						
Brochothrix thermosphacta	NCTC1 10822	Not available	-	Listeria monocytogenes	ATCC3 13932	Not available	-						
Citrobacter farmer	SOLUS <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	-	Micrococcus luteus	ATCC3 4698	Not available	-						
Citrobacter freundii	NCTC1 6272	Not available	-	Proteus vulgaris	NCTC1 4175	Not available	-						
Citrobacter freundii	NCTC1 9737	Not available	-	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	NCTC1 10662	Not available	+/- †						
Corynebacterium parvum	NCTC1 10387	Not available	-	Proteus mirabilis	NCTC1 11938	Not available	-						
Enterobacter aerogenes	NCTC <sup>1</sup> 55	Not available	-	Serratia liquifaciens	Solus <sup>1</sup> WT	Not available	-						
Enterobacter cloacae	NCTC1 10005	Not available	-	Serratia marcescens	SOLUS <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	-						
Enterococcus faecalis	NCTC1 12697	Not available	+/- †	Shigella sonnei	NCTC1 9774	Not available	-						
Eschericihia coli	NCTC1 11560	Not available	-	Shigella sonnei	NCTC1 9779	Not available	-						
Escherichia coli	SOLUS <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	-	Staphylococcus aureus	NCTC1 4135	Not available	+/- †						
E. coli O157	NCTC1 12900	Not available	_	Staphylococcus aureus	NCTC1 8530	Not available	+/- †						
Klebsiella pneumoniae	SOLUS <sup>2</sup> WT	Not available	-	Streptococcus bovis	ATCC3 33317	Not available	-						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NCTC: National Collection of Type Cultures – <sup>2</sup>SOLUS collections – <sup>3</sup>ATCC-American Type Culture Collection †: positive ELISA result after BPW/negative ELISA result after subculture in RVS.

### REFERENCES CITED

- Bastin, B., DeSheilds, A., Bird, P., Benzinger, Jr., M.J., Crowley, E., Agin, J., Goins, D., Wakefield, R., Illingworth, S., and Wells, P., Independent Evaluation of the Solus Scientific Salmonella ELISA Method for the Detection of Salmonella Species in Selected Foods and Environmental Surfaces, AOAC® Performance Tested<sup>SM</sup> certification number 051601
- United States Department of Agriculture Microbiological Laboratory Guidelines 4.08: Isolation and Identification of Salmonella from Red Meat, Poultry,
  Pasteurized Egg, Catfish Products, and Environmental Sponges. June 29th, 2014. (Accessed October 2015)
  <a href="http://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/700c05fe-06a2-492a-a6e1-3357f7701f52/MLG-4.pdf?MOD=AJPERES">http://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/700c05fe-06a2-492a-a6e1-3357f7701f52/MLG-4.pdf?MOD=AJPERES</a>
- Food and Drug Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual Chapter 5: Salmonella. February, 2014. (Accessed October 2015) http://www.fda.gov/Food/Food/ScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm070149.htm
- 4. Solus Salmonella ELISA Package Insert: ELISA-BASED TEST SYSTEM FOR THE DETCTION OF SALMONELLA IN FOODS AND FEEDSTUFFS QCF 16 AOAC Draft 08/15 (Accessed October 2015)
- 5. Bradley, G., Study to identify a suitable replacement for TMB Substrate currently use in several Solus Scientific ELISA kits due to changes in REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals) regulations, AOAC® Performance Tested<sup>SM</sup> certification number 051601. Approved October 2020.
- 6. Roberts, K., Wells, P., and Illingworth, S., Real-time Stability evaluation to Extend the shelf-life of Solus *Salmonella* method from 12 to 15 months for the Detection of *Salmonella* species from select food matrices and environmental surfaces, AOAC® *Performance Tested*<sup>SM</sup> certification number 051601. Approved November 2020.